

MESA DAY CONTEST RULES 2018 – 2019

(Version 10.31.18 / Updates denoted by \*)

# **MESA Think Tank**

LEVEL:		Grades 6 and 7/8				
TYPE OF CONTEST:		Team				
<b>COMPOSITION OF TEAM:</b>		2-3 Students per team				
		Preliminary – As determined by your MESA Center Regional – 1 for 6 <sup>th</sup> Grade and 1 for 7 <sup>th</sup> /8 <sup>th</sup> Grade per Center				
SPONSOR: Beatri		Beatrice Prieto, Director, Fresno MESA Center				
OVERVIEW:	Have you ever wanted to pitch a MESA Competition to MESA staff/judges? Here is your chance! We are looking for a team that has an innovative STEM Competition that can be piloted in the next MESA Day Competition: <b>Participation logistics</b> , <b>limits</b> , and competition facilities may vary by host site. Advisors and students are responsible for verifying this information with their center director.					
MATERIALS:						
*CENEDAL DIILES.						

#### \*GENERAL RULES:

- Project competition must be safe to participants, judges, and audience. If project competition or prototype is deemed unsafe by the judges, team may not proceed with presentation and will be scored up to that point.
- 2) **Must** be a STEM related or a NAE Grand Challenge competition.
  - a. NAE Challenges can be found at <u>http://www.engineeringchallenges.org/</u>
- 3) Must be original work of teams. Commercial models/kits may not be used as a competition.
- 4) Prototype of project competition should be completely built and ready.
- 5) Must be ready to demonstrate how project competition works at presentation.
- 6) Project competition prototype cannot exceed 50cm x 50cm x 50cm.
- 7) \*Teams **MUST** include the price of their prototype in their visual aid with a brief description of materials used. It must be clear for judges to see.
  - a. While there is no specific limit, teams will be scored on cost feasibility of the prototype.

MESA DAY CONTEST RULES 2018-2019 (Version 10.31.18 / updates are denoted by \*) Master Set

©University of California Regents

These rules are for the internal use of MESA staff and teachers only and should not be forwarded or used outside of MESA.

#### (MS) MESA Think Tank - 2 of 4

- 8) Rules for competition must be written (computer generated) and include: overview of competition, list of materials, general rules, and mathematics principles related to project and judging guideline. Hard copy of rules must be provided to judges (3 copies in total one for each judge).
- 9) Rules for competition **must** include 2 pure math concepts and 2 applied math problems.

## **PRESENTATION GUIDELINES:**

- 1) Must include introduction.
- 2) State which STEM field or NAE Grand challenge was applied (only if it applies).
- 3) Must have actual prototype of the competition.
- 4) Must provide a visual aid (could be power-point, a handout or poster board, etc.).
  - a. Visual aid must include the steps of the engineering design process to achieving your competition.
- 5) Demonstrate how your competition will be judged.

### \*JUDGING:

- 1) Judges will assemble all competing teams of students in the assigned room, read the rules, explain procedures, clarify judges' scoring criteria, and answer any related questions.
- 2) \* Judges will determine team order by random drawing and will post the team order prior to the start of competition. (If a team is not there in time to draw random order they will not be allowed to compete)
- 3) \* If a team is called to present and is not there within 30 seconds they will not be allowed to present.
- 4) Students will be given one minute to setup before presentation.
- 5) \*Team must give a maximum of two-minute pitch presentation. After the two-minute mark, teams will be stopped and will not be allowed to present over the two-minutes. A 30 second follow-up of questions will be given by judges.
- 6) Students must give each judge (Maximum of three judges) a copy of your written rules.
- 7) Only judges, appointed staff and competing teams will be allowed in the room.
- 8) Judges will provide time signals for students at these intervals: One (1) minute, Thirty (30) seconds, and Five (5) seconds.

## AWARDS:

• Medals will be awarded per grade level:  $6^{\text{th}}$  ( $1^{\text{st}}$ ,  $2^{\text{nd}}$ ,  $3^{\text{rd}}$ ) and  $7^{\text{th}}/8^{\text{th}}$  ( $1^{\text{st}}$ ,  $2^{\text{nd}}$ ,  $3^{\text{rd}}$ )

## **ATTACHMENTS:**

• Middle School Inspection & Scoring Sheet

## MESA Think Tank (Middle School) Inspection and Scoring Sheet

Student Names:		
School:		
MESA Center: _		
	Section below to be completed by Judges	

Inspection List: 3 sets of rules are provided along with the project prototype						Yes	No
Pro	ject prototype is safe for judges and competitors			•••••			
Pro	ject prototype is STEM or a NAE Grand Challenge						
Pro	ject prototype is original work and NOT a commercial mod	del o	r kit				
All	parts of project prototype fit in 50cm x 50cm x50cm area .	•••••				·	
Pai	rt I: Competition Rules (Rate the rules on each point)						
1.	Overview of Competition is clearly stated 5		4	3	2	1	0
2.	List of Materials is included 5		4	3	2	1	0
3.	General Rules are clearly stated 5		4	3	2	1	0
4.	Judging guidelines are clearly stated 5		4	3	2	1	0
5.	2 Math Concepts are included 5		4	3	2	1	0
6.	2 applied math problems are included 5		4	3	2	1	0
7.	Rules are easy to understand and follow5		4	3	2	1	0
			TOTAL POINTS				
Par	rt II: Presentation (Rate the presentation on each point)						
1.	Introduction gained attention and interest				2	1	0
2.	Prototype of project competition demonstrated			3	2	1	0
3.	Clearly explained the process of how competition will be judge	ed	4	3	2	1	0
	TOTAL POINTS						

MESA DAY CONTEST RULES 2018-2019 (Version 10.31.18 / updates are denoted by \*) Master Set

©University of California Regents

These rules are for the internal use of MESA staff and teachers only and should not be forwarded or used outside of MESA.

#### **\*Part III: Visual Aid** (*Rate the visual aid on each point*)

1.	Vis	ual aid is easy to follow and read	5	4	3	2	1	0
2.	Overall Engineering Design Process is clearly outlined:							
	a.	Goal is clearly stated				2	1	0
	b.	Brainstorm and research clearly stated				2	1	0
	c.	Diagrams, sketches of prototype included				2	1	0
	d.	Steps of how project completion was tested are clearly s	stated			2	1	0
	e.	Improvements and changes are included				2	1	0
3. *Cost Feasibility – What is the price range of the prototype:								
	a.	\$0 - \$10						10
	b.	\$10.01 - \$20						3
	c.	\$20.01 –UP						0

#### TOTAL POINTS\_\_\_\_\_

#### TOTAL POINTS FROM PARTS I-III:

Label Penalty:

\*Deduct 10% deduction if demo and rules are not clearly labeled with student names, grade, school and MESA Center

GRAND TOTAL